# Quality ID #495 (CBE 3665): Ambulatory Palliative Care Patients' Experience of Feeling Heard and Understood

#### **2025 COLLECTION TYPE:**

MIPS CLINICAL QUALITY MEASURES (CQMS)

#### **MEASURE TYPE:**

Patient-Reported Outcome-Based Performance Measure (PRO-PM) - High Priority

#### **DESCRIPTION:**

The percentage of top-box responses among patients aged 18 years and older who had an ambulatory palliative care visit and report feeling heard and understood by their palliative care clinician and team within 2 months (60 days) of the ambulatory palliative care visit.

#### INSTRUCTIONS:

This measure is to be submitted a minimum of <u>once per performance period</u> for patients that have an ambulatory palliative care visit during the reporting period. It is anticipated that Merit-based Incentive Payment System (MIPS) eligible palliative care providers and clinicians who provide denominator-eligible services will submit this measure. All valid Feeling Heard and Understood (HU) survey results (as defined in the specification) should be included in the aggregate score. The survey tool and recommended survey administration procedures are found in the appendix of American Academy of Hospice and Palliative Medicine's Implementation Guide-

https://aahpm.org/uploads/AAHPM22 PRO-PM IMPLEMENTATION GUIDE.pdf. Although the implementation guide recommends a survey vendor, this is not required for MIPS reporting.

- For MIPS eligible individual clinicians, a minimum of 12 HU surveys would need to be received in order to submit this measure.
- For MIPS eligible groups, subgroups\*, virtual groups, and APM entities, a minimum of 38 HU surveys would need to be received in order to submit this measure.
- If the MIPS eligible clinician, group, subgroup\*, virtual group, and APM entity encompasses multiple sites/locations, each site/location would need to meet the HU survey requirements as stated.

#### This measure will be calculated with 4 performance rates:

- 1) Top-box response to Q1- "I felt heard and understood by this provider and team."
- 2) Top-box response to Q2- "I felt this provider and team put my best interests first when making recommendations about my care."
- 3) Top-box response to Q3- "I felt this provider and team saw me as a person, not just someone with a medical problem."
- 4) Top-box response to Q4-"I felt this provider and team understood what is important to me in my life."

Submission of all 4 performance rates is required for this measure. For accountability reporting in the CMS MIPS program, a weighted average will be used.

#### **Measure Submission Type:**

Measure data may be submitted by individual MIPS eligible clinicians, groups, or third-party intermediaries. The listed denominator criteria are used to identify the intended patient population. The numerator options included in this specification are used to submit the quality actions as allowed by the measure. The quality data codes listed do not need to be submitted by MIPS eligible clinicians, groups, or third-party intermediaries that utilize this modality for submissions; however, these codes may be submitted for those third-party intermediaries that utilize Medicare Part B claims data. For more information regarding Application Programming Interface (API), please refer to the Quality Payment Program (QPP) website.

<sup>\*</sup>Subgroups are only available through MVP reporting. All measure-specific criteria must be met by the subgroup.

#### **DENOMINATOR (FOR ALL SUBMISSION CRITERIA):**

All patients aged 18 years and older who had an ambulatory palliative care visit between January 1 – October 31

**DENOMINATOR NOTE:** The same denominator is used for all submission criteria within this quality measure. This measure has two specific pathways to be considered denominator eligible. Patients may be denominator eligible based on a diagnosis of palliative care and an encounter (as indicated below) OR an encounter (as listed below) along with the Hospice and Palliative Care Specialty Code 17.

### **Denominator Criteria (Eligible Cases):**

Patients aged 18 years and older on date of encounter

AND

Diagnosis for palliative care (ICD-10-CM): Z51.5

**OR** 

Patient encounter during the performance period with Hospice and Palliative Care Specialty Code 17: M1365

AND

**Patient encounter during the performance period (CPT):** 99202, 99203, 99204, 99205, 99212, 99213, 99214, 99215

**WITHOUT** 

Telehealth Modifier (including but not limited to): GQ, GT, POS 02, POS 10

OR

Patient encounter during the performance period with place of service code 11: M1382

AND NOT

#### **DENOMINATOR EXCLUSIONS:**

Patients who did not complete at least one of the four patient experience HU survey items and return the HU survey within 60 days of the ambulatory palliative care visit: M1252

Patients who respond on the patient experience HU survey that they did not receive care by the listed ambulatory palliative care provider in the last 60 days (disavowal): M1253

Patients who were deceased when the HU survey reached them: M1254

OR

Patients for whom a proxy completed the entire HU survey on their behalf for any reason (no patient involvement): M1251

#### **NUMERATOR:**

The Feeling Heard and Understood survey is calculated using top-box scoring within 2 months (60 days) of the ambulatory palliative care visit

## **Definition:**

Top-box score – The most positive response available within the HU survey. In this instance, respondents must provide the response of "Completely True" which contributes to overall performance of the measure.

#### **Numerator Instructions:**

The performance of this measure is based on a multi-item HU survey consisting of 4 questions:

- Q1- "I felt heard and understood by this provider and team."
- Q2- "I felt this provider and team put my best interests first when making recommendations about my care."
- Q3- "I felt this provider and team saw me as a person, not just someone with a medical problem."
- Q4- "I felt this provider and team understood what is important to me in my life."

For all four questions in this measure, the top box numerator is the number of respondents who answer "Completely true." An individual's score can be considered an average of the four top-box responses. Individual scores are combined to calculate an average score for an overall palliative care clinician or group.

#### **NUMERATOR (SUBMISSION CRITERIA 1):**

Patient felt heard and understood by this provider and team

**Numerator Options:** 

Performance Met: Patient responded as "completely true" for the guestion

of patient felt heard and understood by this provider and

team (M1250)

<u>OR</u>

Denominator Exception: Patient did not respond to the guestion of patient felt

heard and understood by this provider and team

(M1239)

OR

Performance Not Met: Patient provided a response other than "completely true"

for the question of patient felt heard and understood by

this provider and team (M1243)

#### **NUMERATOR (SUBMISSION CRITERIA 2):**

Patient felt this provider and team put my best interests first when making recommendations about my care

Numerator Options:

Performance Met: Patient responded "completely true" for the question of

> patient felt this provider and team put my best interests first when making recommendations about my care

(M1247)

OR

Denominator Exception: Patient did not respond to the guestion of patient felt this

> provider and team put my best interests first when making recommendations about my care (M1240)

OR

Performance Not Met: Patient provided a response other than "completely true"

> for the question of patient felt this provider and team put my best interests first when making recommendations

about my care (M1244)

#### NUMERATOR (SUBMISSION CRITERIA 3):

Patient felt this provider and team saw me as a person, not just someone with a medical problem

**Numerator Options:** 

Performance Met: Patient responded "completely true" for the question of

> patient felt this provider and team saw me as a person, not just someone with a medical problem (M1248)

OR

Denominator Exception: Patient did not respond to the question of patient felt this

> provider and team saw me as a person, not just someone with a medical problem (M1241)

OR

Performance Not Met: Patient provided a response other than "completely true"

for the question of patient felt this provider and team saw

me as a person, not just someone with a medical

problem (M1245)

#### **NUMERATOR (SUBMISSION CRITERIA 4):**

Patient felt this provider and team understood what is important to me in my life

**Numerator Options:** 

Performance Met: Patient responded "completely true" for the question of

patient felt this provider and team understood what is

important to me in my life (M1249)

<u>OR</u>

**Denominator Exception:** Patient did not respond to the question of patient felt this

provider and team understood what is important to me in

my life (M1242)

<u>OR</u>

**Performance Not Met:** Patient provided a response other than "completely true"

for the question of patient felt this provider and team understood what is important to me in my life (M1246)

#### **RATIONALE:**

Seriously ill persons often report feeling silenced, ignored, and misunderstood in medical institutions (Frosch et al., 2012; Institute of Medicine Committee on Approaching Death, 2015). Systematically monitoring, reporting, and responding to how well patients feel heard and understood are crucial to creating and sustaining a health care environment that excels in caring for those who are seriously ill (Gramling et al., 2016). The quality of provider communication in serious illness is built on at least four mutually reinforcing processes: information gathering, information sharing, responding to emotion, and fostering relationships (Street et al., 2009). These elements directly shape patient experience and, when done well, help patients feel known, informed, in control, and satisfied, thus improving well-being and quality of life (Murray et al., 2015; Street et al., 2009).

#### **CLINICAL RECOMMENDATION STATEMENTS:**

The purpose of the *Feeling Heard and Understood* measure is to facilitate and improve effective patient-provider communication in palliative care that engenders trust, acknowledgement, and a whole-person orientation to care. The importance of this measure is predicated on existing guidelines and conceptual models of the quality of palliative care, including the National Consensus Project Clinical Practice Guidelines for Quality Palliative Care (2018), the National Quality Forum Preferred Practices of Palliative and Hospice Care (2006) (i.e., Preferred Practices 7, 9, and 24), a consensus building process from the National Coalition for Hospice and Palliative Care, and input from qualitative inquiry of patients and providers.

# **COPYRIGHT:**

"Feeling Heard and Understood: A Patient-Reported Quality Measure for the Ambulatory Palliative Care Setting" (the "Measure") is jointly copyrighted in 2021 by the American Academy of Hospice and Palliative Medicine ("AAHPM") and RAND Health ("RAND"). All rights reserved. The Measure is not clinical guidelines, does not establish a standard of medical care, and has not been tested for all potential applications.

The Measure, while copyrighted, can be reproduced and distributed for noncommercial purposes, e.g., use by health care providers in connection with their practices, clinical trials, etc. Commercial use is defined as the sale, license, or distribution of the Measure for commercial gain, or incorporation of the Measure into a product or service that is sold, licensed or distributed for commercial gain.

Commercial uses of the Measure require a license agreement between the user, AAHPM and RAND. Neither AAHPM nor RAND, nor their respective officers, directors, owners, or members shall be responsible for any use of the Measure.

AAHPM is the sole Measure steward for this Measure and responsible for Measure maintenance. AAHPM encourages use of the Measure by any and all health care professionals, where appropriate.

# THE MEASURE AND SPECIFICATIONS ARE PROVIDED "AS IS" WITHOUT WARRANTY OF ANY KIND.


Limited proprietary coding is contained in the Measure specification for convenience. Users of the proprietary code sets should obtain all necessary licenses from the owners of these code sets. AAHPM, RAND and their respective

officers, directors, owners, and members disclaim all liability for use or accuracy of any Current Procedural Terminology (CPT®) or other coding contained in the specification.


The Measure project was supported by Funding Opportunity Number 1V1CMS331639-01-00 from the U.S. Department of Health & Human Services (HHS), Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. The Measure contents provided are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of HHS or any of its agencies.

# 2025 Clinical Quality Measure for Quality ID #495 (CBE 3665): Ambulatory Palliative Care Patients' Experience of Feeling Heard and Understood

Disclaimer: Refer to the measure specification for the specific coding and instructions to submit this measure.



#### Submission Criteria One



# 

\*See the posted measure specification for specific coding and instructions to submit this measure.

Submission of the four performance rates is required for this measure. A weighted average, which is the sum of the performance numerator values divided by the sum of performance denominator values, will be used to calculate performance. The same denominator is used for all submission criterion.

NOTE: Submission Frequency: Patient-Periodic

CPT only copyright. 2024 American Medical Association. All rights reserved.

CPT only copyright 2024 American Medical Association. All rights reserved.

The measure diagrams were developed by CMS as a supplemental resource to be used in conjunction with the measure specifications. They should not be used alone or as a substitution for the measure specification.

# **Submission Criteria Two** Continued from Denominator Numerator Patient responded as "completely true" for the Data Completeness Met question of patient felt this provider Performance Met and team put my best interests first M1247 or equivalent when making recommendations, (40 patients) about my care Νo Patient did not respond to the question Data Completeness Met + of patient felt this provider and Denominator Exception team put my best interests first M1240 or equivalent when making recommendations (10 patients) about my care Νo Patient provided a response other than "completely Data Completeness Met + true" for the question of patient felt Performance Not Met this provider and team put my best M1244 or equivalent interests first when making (20 patients) c2 recommendations about my care

# SAMPLE CALCULATIONS: SUBMISSION CRITERIA TWO Data Completeness = Performance Met (a²=40 patients) + Denominator Exception (b²=10 patients) + Performance Not Met (c²=20 patients) = 70 patients = 87.50% Eligible Population / Denominator (d²=80 patients) = 80 patients Performance Rate= Performance Met (a²=40 patients) = 40 patients = 66.67% Data Completeness Numerator (70 patients) - Denominator Exception (b²=10 patients) = 60 patients

\*See the posted measure specification for specific coding and instructions to submit this measure.

Submission of the four performance rates is required for this measure. A weighted average, which is the sum of the performance numerator values divided by the sum of performance denominator values, will be used to calculate performance. The same denominator is used for all submission criterion.

NOTE: Submission Frequency: Patient-Periodic

CPT only copyright 2024 American Medical Association. All rights reserved.

CPT only copyright 2024 American Medical Association. All rights reserved.
The measure diagrams were developed by CMS as a supplemental resource to be used in conjunction with the measure specifications. They should not be used alone or as a substitution for the measure specification.

Νo

Data Completeness Not Met Quality Data Code or equivalent not submitted (10 patients)

# Submission Criteria Three Continued from Denominator Numerator Patient responded Data Completeness Met + as "completely true" for the Performance Met question of patient felt this provider M1248 or equivalent and team sawme as a person, (40 patients) not just someone with a medical problem Νo Patient did not respond to the question of Data Completeness Met + patient felt this provider and Denominator Exception team saw me as a person, M1241 or equivalent not just someone with a (10 patients) medical problem Νo Patient provided a Data Completeness Met + response other than "completely true" for the question of patient felt Performance Not Met this provider and team sawme as a M1245 or equivalent person, not just someone with (20 patients) a medical problem No Data Completeness Not Met Quality Data Code or equivalent not submitted (10 patients)

# SAMPLE CALCULATIONS: SUBMISSION CRITERIA THREE Data Completeness = Performance Met (a³=40 patients) + Denominator Exception (b³=10 patients) + Performance Not Met (c³=20 patients) = 70 patients = 87.50% Eligible Population / Denominator (d³=80 patients) = 87.50% Performance Rate= Performance Met (a³=40 patients) = 40 patients = 66.67% Data Completeness Numerator (70 patients) - Denominator Exception (b³=10 patients) = 60 patients

\*See the posted measure specification for specific coding and instructions to submit this measure.

Submission of the four performance rates is required for this measure. A weighted average, which is the sum of the performance numerator values divided by the sum of performance denominator values, will be used to calculate performance. The same denominator is used for all submission criterion.

NOTE: Submission Frequency: Patient-Periodic

CPT only copyright 2024 American Medical Association. All rights reserved.

CPT only copyright 2024 American Medical Association. All rights reserved. The measure diagrams were developed by CMS as a supplemental resource to be used in conjunction with the measure specifications. They should not be used alone or as a substitution for the measure specification.

# Submission Criteria Four Continued from Denominator Numerator Patient responded Data Completeness Met + as "completely true" for the Performance Met question of patient felt this provider M1249 or equivalent and team understood what is (40 patients) important to me in my life Νo Patient did not Data Completeness Met + respond to the question of Denominator Exception patient felt this provider and M1242 or equivalent team understood what is (10 patients) important to me in my life Νο Patient provided a Data Completeness Met + response other than "completely Performance Not Met true" for the question of patient felt M1246 or equivalent this provider and team understood (20 patients) what is important to

#### 

CPT only copyright 2024 American Medical Association. All rights reserved.

The measure diagrams were developed by CN(S as a supplemental resource to be used in conjunction with the measure specifications. They should not be used alone or as a substitution for the measure specification.

me in my life

Νo

Data Completeness Not Met Quality Data Code or equivalent not submitted (10 patients)

<sup>\*</sup>See the posted measure specification for specific coding and instructions to submit this measure.

Submission of the four performance rates is required for this measure. A weighted average, which is the sum of the performance numerator values divided by the sum of performance denominator values, will be used to calculate performance. The same denominator is used for all submission criterion.

NOTE: Submission Frequency: Patient-Periodic

CPT only copyright 2024 American Medical Association. All rights reserved.

# 2025 Clinical Quality Measure Flow Narrative for Quality ID #495 (CBE 3665): Ambulatory Palliative Care Patients' Experience of Feeling Heard and Understood

Disclaimer: Refer to the measure specification for specific coding and instructions to submit this measure.

## Multiple Performance Rates

#### **Submission Criteria One:**

- 1. Start with Denominator (Denominator is the same for all four Submission Criteria)
- 2. Check Patients aged greater than or equal to 18 years on date of encounter.
  - a. If Patients aged greater than or equal to 18 years on date of encounter equals No, do not include in Eligible Population/Denominator. Stop processing.
  - b. If Patients aged greater than or equal to 18 years on date of encounter equals Yes, proceed to check Diagnosis for palliative care.
- 3. Check Diagnosis for palliative care:
  - a. If Diagnosis for palliative care equals No, proceed to check Patient encounter during the performance period with Hospice and Palliative Care Specialty Code 17.
  - b. If Diagnosis for palliative care equals Yes, proceed to check Patient encounter during the performance period as listed in the Denominator\*.
- 4. Check Patient encounter during the performance period with Hospice and Palliative Care Specialty Code 17:
  - a. If Patient encounter during the performance period with Hospice and Palliative Care Specialty Code 17 equals No, do not include in Eligible Population/Denominator. Stop processing.
  - b. If Patient encounter during the performance period with Hospice and Palliative Care Specialty Code 17 equals Yes, proceed to check Patient encounter during the performance period as listed in the Denominator\*.
- 5. Check Patient encounter during the performance period as listed in the Denominator\*:
  - a. If Patient encounter during the performance period as listed in the Denominator\* equals No, proceed to check Patient encounter during the performance period with place of service code 11.
  - b. If Patient encounter during the performance period as listed in the Denominator\* equals Yes, proceed to check Telehealth Modifier.
- 6. Check Patient encounter during the performance period with place of service code 11:
  - a. If Patient encounter during the performance period with place of service code 11 equals Yes, proceed to check Patients who did not complete at least one of the four patient experience HU survey items and return the HU survey within 60 days of the ambulatory palliative care visit.
  - b. If *Patient encounter during the performance period with place of service code* equals No, do not include in *Eligible Population/Denominator*. Stop processing.
- 7. Check Patients who did not complete at least one of the four patient experience HU survey items and return the HU survey within 60 days of the ambulatory palliative care visit.
  - a. If Patients who did not complete at least one of the four patient experience HU survey items and return the

- HU survey within 60 days of the ambulatory palliative care visit equals Yes, do not include in *Eligible Population/Denominator*. Stop processing.
- b. If Patients who did not complete at least one of the four patient experience HU survey items and return the HU survey within 60 days of the ambulatory palliative care visit equals No, proceed to check Patients who respond on the patient experience HU survey that they did not receive care by the listed ambulatory palliative care provider in the last 60 days (disavowal).
- 8. Check Patients who respond on the patient experience HU survey that they did not receive care by the listed ambulatory palliative care provider in the last 60 days (disavowal).
  - a. If Patients who respond on the patient experience HU survey that they did not receive care by the listed ambulatory palliative care provider in the last 60 days (disavowal) equals Yes, do not include in Eligible Population/Denominator. Stop processing.
  - b. If Patients who respond on the patient experience HU survey that they did not receive care by the listed ambulatory palliative care provider in the last 60 days (disavowal) equals No, proceed to check Patients who were deceased when the HU survey reached them.
- 9. Check Patients who were deceased when the HU survey reached them.
  - a. If *Patients who were deceased when the HU survey reached them* equals Yes, do not include in *Eligible Population/Denominator*. Stop processing.
  - b. If Patients who were deceased when the HU survey reached them equals No, proceed to check Patients for whom a proxy completed the entire HU survey on their behalf for any reason (no patient involvement).
- 10. Check Patients for whom a proxy completed the entire HU survey on their behalf for any reason (no patient involvement):
  - a. If Patients for whom a proxy completed the entire HU survey on their behalf for any reason (no patient involvement) equals Yes, do not include in Eligible Population/Denominator. Stop processing.
  - a. If Patients for whom a proxy completed the entire HU survey on their behalf for any reason (no patient involvement) equals No, include in Eligible Population/Denominator.
- 11. Denominator Population:
  - a. Denominator Population is all Eligible Patients in the Denominator. Denominator is represented as Denominator in the Sample Calculation listed at the end of this document. Letter d¹-⁴ equals 80 patients in the Sample Calculation.
- 12. Start Numerator
- 13. Check Patient responded as "completely true" for the question of patient felt heard and understood by this provider and team:
  - a. If Patient responded as "completely true" for the question of patient felt heard and understood by this provider and team equal Yes, include in Data Completeness Met and Performance Met.
    - Data Completeness Met and Performance Met letter is represented as Data Completeness and Performance Rate in the Sample Calculation listed at the end of this document. Letter a<sup>1</sup> equals 40 patients in the Sample Calculation.
  - b. If Patient responded as "completely true" for the question of patient felt heard and understood by this provider and team equals No, proceed to check Patient did not respond to the question of patient felt

heard and understood by this provider and team.

- 14. Check Patient did not respond to the question of patient felt heard and understood by this provider and team:
  - a. If Patient did not respond to the question of patient felt heard and understood by this provider and team equals Yes, include in Data Completeness Met and Denominator Exception.
    - Data Completeness Met and Denominator Exception letter is represented as Data Completeness and Performance Rate in the Sample Calculation listed at the end of this document. Letter b¹ equals 10 patients in the Sample Calculation.
  - b. If Patient did not respond to the question of patient felt heard and understood by this provider and team equals No, proceed to check Patient provided a response other than "completely true" for the question of patient felt heard and understood by this provider and team.
- 15. Check Patient provided a response other than "completely true" for the question of patient felt heard and understood by this provider and team:
  - a. If Patient provided a response other than "completely true" for the question of patient felt heard and understood by this provider and team equals Yes, include in Data Completeness Met and Performance Not Met.
    - Data Completeness Met and Performance Not Met letter is represented as Data Completeness in the Sample Calculation listed at the end of this document. Letter c<sup>1</sup> equals 20 patients in the Sample Calculation.
  - b. If Patient provided a response other than "completely true" for the question of patient felt heard and understood by this provider and team equals No, proceed to check Data Completeness Not Met.
- 16. Check Data Completeness Not Met:
- 17. If *Data Completeness Not Met*, the Quality Data Code or equivalent was not submitted. 10 patients have been subtracted from the Data Completeness Numerator in the Sample Calculation.

#### Sample Calculations: Submission Criteria One

Data Completeness equals Performance Met (a¹ equals 40 patients) plus Denominator Exception (b¹ equals 10) Performance Not Met (c¹ equals 20 patients) divided by Eligible Population/Denominator (d¹ equals 80 patients). All equals 70 patients divided by 80 patients. All equals 87.50 percent.

Performance Rate equals Performance Met (a¹ equals 40 patients) divided by Data Completeness Numerator (70 patients) minus Denominator Exception (b¹ equals 10). All equals 40 patients divided by 60 patients. All equals 66.67 percent.

NOTE: Submission Frequency: Patient-Periodic

The measure diagrams were developed by CMS as a supplemental resource to be used in conjunction with the measure specifications. They should not be used alone or as a substitution for the measure specification.

<sup>\*</sup>See the posted measure specification for specific coding and instructions to submit this measure.

<sup>\*</sup>Submission of the four performance rates is required for this measure. A weighted average, which is the sum of the performance numerator values divided by the sum of performance denominator values, will be used to calculate performance. The same denominator is used for all submission criterion.

#### **Submission Criteria Two:**

- 1. Denominator is the same as Submission Criteria One.
  - a. Denominator Population is all Eligible Patients in the Denominator. Denominator is represented as Denominator in the Sample Calculation listed at the end of this document. Letter d² equals 80 patients in the Sample Calculation.
- Start Numerator
- 3. Check Patient responded "completely true" for the question of patient felt this provider and team put my best interests first when making recommendations about my care:
  - a. If Patient responded "completely true" for the question of patient felt this provider and team put my best interests first when making recommendations about my care equals Yes, include in Data Completeness Met and Performance Met.
    - Data Completeness Met and Performance Met letter is represented as Data Completeness and Performance Rate in the Sample Calculation listed at the end of this document. Letter a<sup>2</sup> equals 40 patients in the Sample Calculation.
  - b. If Patient responded "completely true" for the question of patient felt this provider and team put my best interests first when making recommendations about my care equals No, proceed to check Patient did not respond to the question of patient felt this provider and team put my best interests first when making recommendations about my care.
- 4. Check Patient did not respond to the question of patient felt this provider and team put my best interests first when making recommendations about my care:
  - a. If Patient did not respond to the question of patient felt this provider and team put my best interests first when making recommendations about my care equals Yes, include in Data Completeness Met and Denominator Exception.
    - Data Completeness Met and Denominator Exception letter is represented as Data Completeness and Performance Rate in the Sample Calculation listed at the end of this document. Letter b<sup>2</sup> equals 10 patients in the Sample Calculation.
  - b. If Patient did not respond to the question of patient felt this provider and team put my best interests first when making recommendations about my care equals No, proceed to check Patient provided a response other than "completely true" for the question of patient felt this provider and team put my best interests first when making recommendations about my care.
- 5. Check Patient provided a response other than "completely true" for the question of patient felt this provider and team put my best interests first when making recommendations about my care:
  - a. If Patient provided a response other than "completely true" for the question of patient felt this provider and team put my best interests first when making recommendations about my care equals Yes, include in Data Completeness Met and Performance Not Met.
    - Data Completeness Met and Performance Not Met letter is represented as Data Completeness in the Sample Calculation listed at the end of this document. Letter c<sup>2</sup> equals 20 patients in the Sample Calculation.
  - b. If Patient provided a response other than "completely true" for the question of patient felt this provider and team put my best interests first when making recommendations about my care equals No, proceed to

check Data Completeness Not Met.

- 6. Check Data Completeness Not Met:
- 7. If *Data Completeness Not Met*, the Quality Data Code or equivalent was not submitted. 10 patients have been subtracted from the Data Completeness Numerator in the Sample Calculation.

#### Sample Calculations: Submission Criteria Two

Data Completeness equals Performance Met (a² equals 40 patients) plus Denominator Exception (b² equals 10) Performance Not Met (c² equals 20 patients) divided by Eligible Population/Denominator (d² equals 80 patients). All equals 70 patients divided by 80 patients. All equals 87.50 percent.

Performance Rate equals Performance Met (a² equals 40 patients) divided by Data Completeness Numerator (70 patients) minus Denominator Exception (b² equals 10). All equals 40 patients divided by 60 patients. All equals 66.67 percent.

\*See the posted measure specification for specific coding and instructions to submit this measure.

\*Submission of the four performance rates is required for this measure. A weighted average, which is the sum of the performance numerator values divided by the sum of performance denominator values, will be used to calculate performance. The same denominator is used for all submission criterion.

NOTE: Submission Frequency: Patient-Periodic

The measure diagrams were developed by CMS as a supplemental resource to be used in conjunction with the measure specifications. They should not be used alone or as a substitution for the measure specification.

#### **Submission Criteria Three:**

- 1. Denominator is the same as Submission Criteria One.
  - a. Denominator Population is all Eligible Patients in the Denominator. Denominator is represented as Denominator in the Sample Calculation listed at the end of this document. Letter d<sup>3</sup> equals 80 patients in the Sample Calculation.
- 2. Start Numerator
- 3. Check Patient responded "completely true" for the question of patient felt this provider and team saw me as a person, not just someone with a medical problem:
  - a. If Patient responded "completely true" for the question of patient felt this provider and team saw me as a person, not just someone with a medical problem equals Yes, include in Data Completeness Met and Performance Met.
    - Data Completeness Met and Performance Met letter is represented as Data Completeness and Performance Rate in the Sample Calculation listed at the end of this document. Letter a<sup>3</sup> equals 40 patients in the Sample Calculation.
  - b. If Patient responded "completely true" for the question of patient felt this provider and team saw me as a person, not just someone with a medical problem equals No, proceed to check Patient did not respond to the question of patient felt this provider and team saw me as a person, not just someone with a medical problem.

- 4. Check Patient did not respond to the question of patient felt this provider and team saw me as a person, not just someone with a medical problem:
  - a. If Patient did not respond to the question of patient felt this provider and team saw me as a person, not just someone with a medical problem equals Yes, include in Data Completeness Met and Denominator Exception.
    - Data Completeness Met and Denominator Exception letter is represented as Data Completeness and Performance Rate in the Sample Calculation listed at the end of this document. Letter b<sup>3</sup> equals 10 patients in the Sample Calculation.
  - b. If Patient did not respond to the question of patient felt this provider and team saw me as a person, not just someone with a medical problem equals No, proceed to check Patient provided a response other than "completely true" for the question of patient felt this provider and team saw me as a person, not just someone with a medical problem.
- 5. Check Patient provided a response other than "completely true" for the question of patient felt this provider and team saw me as a person, not just someone with a medical problem:
  - a. If Patient provided a response other than "completely true" for the question of patient felt this provider and team saw me as a person, not just someone with a medical problem equals Yes, include in Data Completeness Met and Performance Not Met.
    - Data Completeness Met and Performance Not Met letter is represented as Data Completeness in the Sample Calculation listed at the end of this document. Letter c<sup>3</sup> equals 20 patients in the Sample Calculation.
  - b. If Patient provided a response other than "completely true" for the question of patient felt this provider and team saw me as a person, not just someone with a medical problem equals No, proceed to check Data Completeness Not Met.
- 6. Check Data Completeness Not Met:
- 7. If *Data Completeness Not Met*, the Quality Data Code or equivalent was not submitted. 10 patients have been subtracted from the Data Completeness Numerator in the Sample Calculation.

#### **Sample Calculations: Submission Criteria Three**

Data Completeness equals Performance Met (a³ equals 40 patients) plus Denominator Exception (b³ equals 10) Performance Not Met (c³ equals 20 patients) divided by Eligible Population/Denominator (d³ equals 80 patients). All equals 70 patients divided by 80 patients. All equals 87.50 percent.

Performance Rate equals Performance Met (a³ equals 40 patients) divided by Data Completeness Numerator (70 patients) minus Denominator Exception (b³ equals 10). All equals 40 patients divided by 60 patients. All equals 66.67 percent.

NOTE: Submission Frequency: Patient-Periodic

The measure diagrams were developed by CMS as a supplemental resource to be used in conjunction with the measure

<sup>\*</sup>See the posted measure specification for specific coding and instructions to submit this measure.

<sup>\*</sup>Submission of the four performance rates is required for this measure. A weighted average, which is the sum of the performance numerator values divided by the sum of performance denominator values, will be used to calculate performance. The same denominator is used for all submission criterion.

specifications. They should not be used alone or as a substitution for the measure specification.

### **Submission Criteria Four:**

- 1. Denominator is the same as Submission Criteria One.
  - Denominator Population is all Eligible Patients in the Denominator. Denominator is represented as Denominator in the Sample Calculation listed at the end of this document. Letter d<sup>4</sup> equals 80 patients in the Sample Calculation.
- 2. Start Numerator
- 3. Check Patient responded "completely true" for the question of patient felt this provider and team understood what is important to me in my life:
  - a. If Patient responded "completely true" for the question of patient felt this provider and team understood what is important to me in my life equals Yes, include in Data Completeness Met and Performance Met.
    - Data Completeness Met and Performance Met letter is represented as Data Completeness and Performance Rate in the Sample Calculation listed at the end of this document. Letter a<sup>4</sup> equals 40 patients in the Sample Calculation.
  - b. If Patient responded "completely true" for the question of patient felt this provider and team understood what is important to me in my life equals No, proceed to check Patient did not respond to the question of patient felt this provider and team understood what is important to me in my life.
- 4. Check Patient did not respond to the question of patient felt this provider and team understood what is important to me in my life:
  - a. If Patient did not respond to the question of patient felt this provider and team understood what is important to me in my life Yes, include in Data Completeness Met and Denominator Exception.
    - Data Completeness Met and Denominator Exception letter is represented as Data Completeness and Performance Rate in the Sample Calculation listed at the end of this document. Letter b<sup>4</sup> equals 10 patients in the Sample Calculation.
  - b. If Patient did not respond to the question of patient felt this provider and team understood what is important to me in my life equals No, proceed to check Patient provided a response other than "completely true" for the question of patient felt this provider and team understood what is important to me in my life.
- 5. Check Patient provided a response other than "completely true" for the question of patient felt this provider and team understood what is important to me in my life:
  - a. If Patient provided a response other than "completely true" for the question of patient felt this provider and team understood what is important to me in my life equals Yes, include in Data Completeness Met and Performance Not Met.
    - Data Completeness Met and Performance Not Met letter is represented as Data Completeness in the Sample Calculation listed at the end of this document. Letter c<sup>4</sup> equals 20 patients in the Sample Calculation.
  - b. If Patient provided a response other than "completely true" for the question of patient felt this provider and team understood what is important to me in my life equals No, proceed to check Data Completeness Not Met.
- 6. Check Data Completeness Not Met:

7. If Data Completeness Not Met, the Quality Data Code or equivalent was not submitted. 10 patients have been subtracted from the Data Completeness Numerator in the Sample Calculation.

#### Sample Calculations: Submission Criteria Four

Data Completeness equals Performance Met (a<sup>4</sup> equals 40 patients) plus Denominator Exception (b<sup>4</sup> equals 10) Performance Not Met (c<sup>4</sup> equals 20 patients) divided by Eligible Population/Denominator (d<sup>4</sup> equals 80 patients). All equals 70 patients divided by 80 patients. All equals 87.50 percent.

Performance Rate equals Performance Met (a4 equals 40 patients) divided by Data Completeness Numerator (70 patients) minus Denominator Exception (b<sup>4</sup> equals 10). All equals 40 patients divided by 60 patients. All equals 66.67 percent.

\*See the posted measure specification for specific coding and instructions to submit this measure.

NOTE: Submission Frequency: Patient-Periodic

\*Submission of the four performance rates is required for this measure. A weighted average, which is the sum of the performance numerator values divided by the sum of performance denominator values, will be used to calculate performance. The same denominator is used for all submission criterion.

The measure diagrams were developed by CMS as a supplemental resource to be used in conjunction with the measure specifications. They should not be used alone or as a substitution for the measure specification.

# **Overall Sample Calculations:**

Data Completeness equals Performance Met (a1 plus a2 plus a3 plus a4 equals 160 patients) plus Denominator Exception (b1 plus b2 plus b3 plus b4 equals 40 patients) plus Performance Not Met (c1 plus c2 plus c3 plus c4 equals 80 patients) divided by Eligible Population/Denominator (d¹ plus d² plus d³ plus d⁴ equals 320 patients). All equals 280 patients divided by 320 patients. All equals 87.50 percent.

Performance Rate equals Performance Met (a1 plus a2 plus a3 plus a4 equals 160 patients) divided by Data Completeness Numerator (280 patients) minus Denominator Exception (b1 plus b2 plus b3 plus b4 equals 40 patients). All equals 160 patients divided by 240 patients. All equals 66.67 percent.

\*See the posted measure specification for specific coding and instructions to submit this measure.

\*Submission of the four performance rates is required for this measure. A weighted average, which is the sum of the performance numerator values divided by the sum of performance denominator values, will be used to calculate performance. The same denominator is used for all submission criterion.

NOTE: Submission Frequency: Patient-Periodic

The measure diagrams were developed by CMS as a supplemental resource to be used in conjunction with the measure specifications. They should not be used alone or as a substitution for the measure specification.

Page 18 of 18